

University of Groningen

## The Role of the Spiritual Meaning System in Coping with Cancer

Visser, Anja; Uwland-Sikkema, Nicoline F.; Westerhof, Gerben J.; Garssen, Bert

*Published in:*  
Religions

*DOI:*  
[10.3390/rel11010049](https://doi.org/10.3390/rel11010049)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Visser, A., Uwland-Sikkema, N. F., Westerhof, G. J., & Garssen, B. (2020). The Role of the Spiritual Meaning System in Coping with Cancer. *Religions*, 11(1), 49-67. [49]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel11010049>

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.



**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

## Article

# The Role of the Spiritual Meaning System in Coping with Cancer

Anja Visser <sup>1,\*</sup>, Nicoline Uwland-Sikkema <sup>2</sup>, Gerben J. Westerhof <sup>3</sup>  and Bert Garssen <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Theology and Religious Studies, University of Groningen, 9712 GK Groningen, The Netherlands<sup>2</sup> Viattence, 8161 PC Epe, The Netherlands; nicoline.uwland-sikkema@parago.nl<sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, Health & Technology, University of Twente, Postbox 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands; g.j.westerhof@utwente.nl<sup>4</sup> Department of Health Psychology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Groningen, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands; a.garssen@rug.nl

\* Correspondence: a.visser-nieraeth@rug.nl

Received: 3 November 2019; Accepted: 11 January 2020; Published: 19 January 2020



**Abstract:** Spirituality can support the adjustment process of people with cancer, by forming a meaning system that supports understanding of the cause and implications of the experience and that provides coping strategies. The different ways in which spiritual meaning systems might fulfill these roles were examined among 20 people who were treated for cancer with curative intent. Narrative interviews were held on average 16 months after cancer diagnosis. The interviews were analyzed in a two-stage process, based on a holistic content approach. The first stage led to the identification of various roles and outcomes of the meaning system. The second stage involved a comparison of these roles and outcomes between previously defined types of meaning systems. The roles identified were discrepancy, legitimation and continuation. Legitimation was associated with the outcome of integration, whereas continuation was associated with an outcome of a positive outlook toward the future. Several differences were found between types of meaning systems, regarding the extent to which and ways in which these roles and outcomes occurred. This study underscores recommendations that healthcare professionals should be aware of the different ways in which the patient's previous beliefs and experiences influence their current adaptation to serious life events.

**Keywords:** cancer; Netherlands; qualitative interview; coping; spirituality; meaning system

## 1. Introduction

Spirituality is important for people during the experience of cancer diagnosis and treatment. In this study, the term spirituality refers to a person's search for and experience of connectedness with whatever they consider the essence of their lives (de Jager Meezenbroek et al. 2012). Within this definition, religion and spirituality are considered to be independent but overlapping constructs. They would overlap when connectedness with the essence of life is searched for and experienced within a religious tradition. Spirituality expresses itself in constellations of beliefs, attitudes, activities, and experiences (La Cour et al. 2012; Weathers et al. 2016). The objective of the present study is to examine how various types of spirituality influence the emotional adjustment to cancer.

Spiritual practices—such as prayer, mediation, reading scripture, or service attendance—can help patients to cope with physical symptoms such as nausea, fatigue and pain (Garssen et al. 2015; James and Wells 2003). In addition, spiritual beliefs, attitudes and experiences can assist in answering existential questions, or meaning-making, when previous beliefs and experiences about life are challenged (Taylor 2003; Halstead and Fernsler 1994; Yang et al. 2012), although they can also be a source of conflict if the provided meanings are insufficient (James and Wells 2003; Park 2005).

[Park \(2010\)](#) has offered a model that to date forms the most comprehensive description of the process of meaning-making that takes place when people face a negative life event. Based on existing literature, she suggests that negative life events trigger a discrepancy between previously held beliefs, goals and experiences of meaningfulness (global meaning system) and the meaning attributed to the event (situational meaning). Processes of meaning making are then activated to reduce this discrepancy. A meaning system is defined as a worldview, that is, a cognitive unity of beliefs, attitudes, values, and norms that each individual develops across his or her personal history, and through which the person identifies himself, ascribes meaning to his life, and attains a sense of certainty ([Van Uden 1985](#), p. 184).

[Park \(2010\)](#) describes different ways in which the process of meaning-making can take place. She distinguishes between automatic and deliberate meaning-making, between assimilation (situational meaning is adjusted to and incorporated in global meaning) and accommodation (global meaning is adjusted to the situational meaning), between searching for comprehensibility (understanding what has happened) and for significance (understanding why it has happened), and between cognitive and emotional processing. Park notes, however, that more information is needed on these processes. Particularly, on which types of meaning systems may facilitate assimilation—which seems to be more common than accommodation—and may, thus, be less vulnerable to discrepancies. The role of spiritual and religious beliefs, practices and experiences in this regard is of special interest in this study.

Several studies have provided clues toward answering these questions. For example, [Vonarx and Hyppolite \(2013\)](#) describe how 10 Canadian cancer patients felt that the diagnosis challenged their beliefs about the length of their lives and the strength of their bodies. The patients who then found meaning in the disease, attributed it to their lifestyle. They gained a sense of control from this belief. Various spiritual practices, such as prayer, meditation, visualizations, and complementary or alternative medicine were used by these patients to persuade both supernatural and biological forces to heal them. [Woods and Ironson \(1999\)](#) found among American patients with cancer, HIV, or cardiac disease that beliefs in personal control over illness were more often present among those who identified as spiritual than those who identified as religious. Instead, those who identified as religious more often reported believing that both their recovery and their physicians were guided by a divine power. On the other hand, the study by [Vonarx and Hyppolite \(2013\)](#) suggests that beliefs in personal and supernatural locus of control go together within a person, and that the emphasis on one or the other is influenced by the circumstances of the illness. This is also evident in a study among 10 American women diagnosed with breast cancer ([Halstead and Hull 2001](#)). At first, the women sought to find control over their health themselves. However, when realizing that their level of control was only limited, they turned to God for support, even though for some women this brought along conflicting feelings about the love and protectiveness of God for them. Prayer, reflection and study were important means for these women to uncover meaning. Gradually, as the women came to terms with the uncertainty and the changed identity that resulted from the experience with cancer, they began to also see benefits from the experience, reflecting a changed meaning of the event. A similar process seems to have taken place among the 55 Danish cancer patients in a study by [Hvidt \(2017\)](#). However, these patients did not express a relationship with God as important in their process, but rather emphasized the importance of connecting with other people who had experienced serious life events as a way to find recognition and to reconnect to ‘ordinary’ life. The 16 Finnish young adults with cancer in the study by [Saarelainen \(2017\)](#) also expressed the importance of fellow patients in finding meaning, but also the connectedness with family and friends, and with God. About half of these patients expressed a similar ambivalence in their relationship with God as the women in the study by [Halstead and Hull \(2001\)](#). Across these studies, time since diagnosis, stage of disease, personality characteristics, and other life events during the cancer experience seemed to influence how the adjustment process transpires.

The exemplary studies above illustrate the ways in which different (spiritual) beliefs and attitudes, particularly regarding control, can relate to the process of meaning-making as a way to cope with cancer. However, these studies have rarely explicitly examined differences between types of meaning

systems. In addition, most of the studies have taken place in the United States, where in 2014 36% of the population indicated to visit religious services at least once a week ([Pew Research Center 2015](#)), which suggests that for a substantial proportion of the population a religious (often Christian) meaning system is central. However, in the Netherlands only 12% of the population reported regularly visiting a religious service in 2015 ([Bernts and Berghuijs 2016](#)), suggesting possibly more variety in spiritual meaning systems, or a higher presence of more secular meaning systems than in the USA.

This role of culture is evidenced in studies by Ahmadi and colleagues. [Ahmadi \(2006\)](#) has suggested that in secularized countries, such as Sweden, cancer patients do not invoke a relationship with God when coping with their illness, but focus on their relationship with nature, themselves, or the universe instead. This was confirmed in a comparative study of cancer patients in Sweden and South Korea ([Ahmadi et al. 2017](#)). However, the Swedish patients were more inclined to express their relationship with nature and with themselves as 'spiritual' or as sanctified, whereas the Korean respondents referred to both in a more instrumental way as a source of healing. In addition, the Swedish patients used solitude and prayer as ways to connect to themselves, whereas the Korean patients felt lonely in solitude and used prayer to connect to a transcendent power (for example, Buddha, Jesus, ancestors). Among Turkish cancer patients, living in a more religious country however, religious meaning-making was much more prevalent ([Ahmadi et al. 2019](#)).

In a previous paper, we described how four types of meaning system were uncovered through thematic analysis of interviews with 20 Dutch cancer patients who in the past year had been treated for cancer with curative intent ([Uwland-Sikkema et al. 2018](#)). During analysis, the use of symbolic language (mostly the use of metaphors) and the 'transcendent' nature of described beliefs, experiences and practices emerged as differentiating factors between the four types of meaning systems. In these meaning systems, spirituality differed both in centrality and in content. In the first type, named *Omnipresent spirituality* (n = 6), spiritual beliefs and experiences were very central. These revolved around an attitude of staying connected to the Transcendent and the belief that events in life brought lessons that would bring them closer to reaching their full potential. In the second type, named *Accompanying spirituality* (n = 6), spiritual beliefs and experiences were only present in the background. Instead, beliefs about the self as optimistic and energetic were central in this meaning system. In the third type, named *Enclosed spirituality* (n = 4), beliefs about personal control in life were central to the meaning system, which were supported by beliefs and experiences of immanent transcendence. Although this immanent transcendence was quite central in the meaning system of these participants, it was difficult to observe due to the secular language in which it was communicated. In the fourth meaning system, named *Absent spirituality* (n = 4), spiritual beliefs and experiences were not integrated into the meaning system as a whole. Instead, an attitude of focusing on daily enjoyments was central. The classification of the individuals in the meaning systems did not show overlap with classifications based on (1) religious affiliations or life philosophy, (2) self-reported level of spirituality and religiosity, (3) level of spiritual involvement assessed with the Spiritual Attitude and Involvement List ([de Jager Meezenbroek et al. 2012](#)), and (4) evaluations of the person's spirituality by raters based on the interview transcripts.

The present study returns to these interviews and types, to examine three questions: 1. What roles does the meaning system play in adjustment to cancer? 2. How do these roles influence outcomes of adjustment? 3. Are there differences in these processes between the four previously defined meaning systems?

## 2. Materials and Methods

Here the study procedures are briefly described. A more detailed description can be found in the previous paper ([Uwland-Sikkema et al. 2018](#)).

## 2.1. Design

The present study involved a cross-sectional, retrospective, qualitative study design. This study took place as part of a longitudinal project investigating the relationship between spirituality and emotional adjustment to cancer.

## 2.2. Participants

During the period 2009–2010, participants were recruited for the project by medical staff from four hospitals and two radiotherapy institutions in the Netherlands. Eligible participants had been diagnosed with cancer no more than two months before, were treated with curative intent, were 18 years of age or older, were not diagnosed with brain cancer and had no known psychiatric illness.

Of the 460 participants who had completed all three quantitative assessments in the project over the course of 12 months, 313 (68%) had provided consent for an interview at the start of the project. Purposive sampling was applied to maximize the information-richness of the interviews, by selecting participants who showed characteristics that contrasted the central hypothesis of the project that spirituality was positively associated with emotional well-being and negatively with emotional distress. Thus, participants were selected who at the first assessment scored either high on the spirituality measure (the Spiritual Attitude and Involvement List; [de Jager Meezenbroek et al. 2012](#)) but low on the well-being measure (the subscale Joy in Life of the Health and Disease Inventories; [De Bruin and Van Dijk 1996](#)) and/or high on the distress measure (the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; [Spinhoven et al. 1997](#)), or who scored low on spirituality but high on well-being and/or low on distress. High or low scores were defined as scoring either in the highest or lowest sextile on the respective measure. Also selected were participants who showed a marked change in spirituality, well-being and/or distress over the 12-month period of quantitative assessment. A marked change was defined as falling within the highest or lowest sextile of the change scores. Overrepresentation of women, certain types of cancer and certain religious affiliations in comparison to the sample of the overall project was minimized during selection.

Eligible participants were telephoned by the interviewer (NU) to verify permission and schedule a date for the interview. Within the research team, the interviewer was primarily responsible for the qualitative data-collection and -analysis. During the course of the study, 25 participants were selected, of whom 20 were still willing to be interviewed. After 20 interviews, data saturation was reached and selection of new participants ceased. Sociodemographic and medical characteristics of the participants are displayed in Table 1.

There was no prior relationship between the interviewer and the interviewees. Participants received a gift certificate of €7.50 with the first questionnaire and an additional gift certificate of €10 after participating in the interview. The full project was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the University Medical Centre Utrecht, the Netherlands. Informed consent included a statement that the data would be stored for at least 10 years.

**Table 1.** Sociodemographic and medical characteristics of the study participants (n = 20).

Characteristic	n
Gender male	5
Mean age	54 (43–78)
Education	
Low	1
Middle	10
High	9
Religious affiliation	
Roman Catholic	5
Protestant	5
Other	9
None	1
Considers oneself <sup>a</sup>	
Spiritual and religious	6
Spiritual, not religious	7
Religious, not spiritual	1
Neither religious nor spiritual	4
Type of cancer	
Breast cancer	12
Prostate cancer	3
Colon cancer	3
Other	2
Mean time since diagnosis in months	16
Mean time since end of treatment in months <sup>b</sup>	9

<sup>a</sup> The numbers do not add up to 20, because some participants were unsure about whether they considered themselves religious or spiritual. <sup>b</sup> n = 11.

### 2.3. Interview

Semi-structured interviews were conducted, in which a narrative was elicited by asking participants “Could you describe your past year to me, from the time you’ve received the diagnosis?”. In line with a narrative approach, we felt it was important to start with this broad, open question, to let the patient construct their own story. They could highlight about their journey what they felt was important, in the order in which they wanted to talk about the event. The interviewer did have a list of themes available to help her to explore more deeply the participant’s attitude in life. Based on the themes, she formulated follow-up and clarification questions when appropriate. These were about what was important in the participant’s life, how they viewed difficulties in life, and what gave them a sense of trust or confidence. The word ‘spirituality’ was not used by the interviewer unless the participants used it themselves, or until the end of the interview when the participant’s definition of spirituality was explored more explicitly. All interviews took place at the participant’s home. The interviews took on average 2 h, ranging from 1 h to 2 h 45 min. Interviews were audio-recorded and fully transcribed to enable data analysis.

### 2.4. Qualitative Analysis

During the analysis of the interviews, we took a holistic-content approach to the narratives of the participants (Lieblich 1998). In a holistic content approach, the material is read several times until patterns emerge, sometimes with a special focus. The emergent themes are then followed throughout the narrative, to understand their development and significance. We read the narratives focusing on the question: “What role does the meaning system of this person play in this story about cancer?”.



To answer the first two research questions, the interviewer (NU) and the researcher responsible for the quantitative data-collection and -analysis of the mixed-methods project (AV) summarized the role of the meaning system in each interview—independent of its categorization in a meaning system—, discussed their findings and compared the roles between interviews. After several rounds of discussion and comparison, three roles (discrepancy, legitimation and continuation) and two outcomes (integration and outlook) were identified.

To answer our third research question, the interviews were grouped in accordance with our previous categorization of meaning systems (Uwland-Sikkema et al. 2018) and read again to understand how the content of the meaning systems related to the roles and outcomes. Tables were created that specified (1) the constituting elements of the meaning systems as defined by Uwland-Sikkema et al. (2018), (2) a one-sentence description of the content of these elements, (3) a one-sentence description of the role of each of these elements (whether it was discrepancy, legitimation or continuation and how this took shape), and (4) for each type of meaning system as a whole a brief summary of the outcome (integration and outlook). By comparing the contents of these tables, an understanding was reached of similarities and differences in the relation of the meaning systems to adjustment to cancer.

### Trustworthiness

In addition to the procedures described above, the following procedures were used to enhance the trustworthiness of the study:

- A member check was performed with 18 of the 20 interviews by sending the participant a summary of the interview for comment. All confirmed that the interpretation of their cancer experience, life-view and spirituality was correct. Two participants could not be reached.
- Throughout the analytical process, peer debriefing with the advisory board of the overarching project was applied to verify the appropriateness of the analytical procedures, interpretations and terminology.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Roles and Outcomes

It was found that the meaning system played a role as source of discrepancy, as means for the legitimation of the cancer diagnosis and as a resource for the continuation of treasured aspects of life. An outcome of legitimation seemed to be the integration of the experience into the life narrative. An outcome of continuation seemed to be the person's outlook toward the future. We will describe each of these roles and outcomes in detail below.

#### 3.1.1. Role: Discrepancy

In the interviews, people talked about how being diagnosed with cancer was incongruent with certain beliefs or attitudes that they held. These descriptions were labelled as discrepancies between the individual's meaning system and the experience of cancer. As found in many previous studies, people mentioned feeling confronted with their own finiteness and the possibility of dying. This possibility was discrepant with their belief that illness and death happen to old people, not to people their own age. Cancer was also often described as discrepant with the self-image of being a healthy person or with beliefs about control over health through lifestyle. Another common discrepancy that the participants spoke about was the obstruction of life goals by cancer. When speaking about discrepancies, the participants used expressions such as 'confrontation', 'doubt' and 'disappointment', and negative emotions were mentioned or expressed such as fear, anger, sadness or depression.

Discrepancies were identified in 12 of the 20 interviews. In the eight interviews in which no discrepancy was found, the participants did use expressions such as 'shock', 'feeling lost' or 'your world has fallen apart', but there was no clear indication of a belief or attitude that this experience contrasted with.

### 3.1.2. Role: Legitimation

All of the participants tried to explain, or legitimize, the occurrence of cancer. This process did not always co-occur with a discrepancy. Two types of legitimation were identified, focusing on either the question ‘how did I get cancer?’ (comprehensibility) or on the question ‘why me?’ (significance). To find an answer to these questions, the participants questioned whether they could have prevented the cancer by a different lifestyle and they related the cancer to previous life events. Participants often recalled specific moments or conversations with family, friends, people from a support group or health professionals that helped them to understand the experience.

### 3.1.3. Outcome: Integration

The cognitive process of legitimation could result in the integration of the event in the life story of the participant. Most of the participants seemed to have accomplished this integration either quickly after having been diagnosed with cancer or after some time. Only one participant clearly indicated that he was unable to accept or integrate the occurrence of cancer in his life. He suggested that side effects from the radiation treatment and grief from the loss of a long-term romantic relationship at the time of cancer treatment prevented him from processing his experiences and moving on.

### 3.1.4. Role: Continuation

In 19 of the 20 interviews, we found that participants tried to maintain, or continue, important beliefs and attitudes—such as having an acceptant or trusting attitude toward life, having a belief about oneself as a positive person, or engaging with sources of meaning in life—in spite of physical, social, and psychological consequences of the diagnosis and treatment of cancer, and insecurities about future cancer recurrence. Family, friends, people from a support group or health professionals played an important role in supporting and maintaining beliefs and attitudes in life.

In one interview, no specific belief or attitude was found that the individual tried to continue during the past year. Instead, she described an attitude of abiding or tolerating the cancer, which was very different from the more active approach toward coping with the cancer that the other participants described. Her story about cancer was also dominated by experiences of fear, depression and doubt.

### 3.1.5. Outcome: Outlook

The cognitive, emotional and behavioral process of continuation influenced the participant’s outlook toward the future. Most of the 19 participants who described that they had been able to continue important beliefs and attitudes felt positively about the future. They experienced optimism and confidence in their ability to cope with future negative life events, such as the recurrence of cancer. Some participants had experienced a discrepancy between their valued beliefs and attitudes. If this discrepancy still existed at the time of the interview, the participants indicated that they felt the future was unpredictable or negative. If the discrepancy had been resolved, and the valued beliefs or attitudes had been regained, participants said that they felt these elements of their meaning system had been strengthened by the experience of cancer.

## 3.2. Comparison of Meaning Systems

In this section, differences and similarities in the process and outcome of adjustment between the four meaning systems (Omnipresent, Accompanying, Enclosed, and Absent spirituality) are described. The contribution of specific spiritual beliefs, attitudes, practices, and experiences are highlighted.

No clear indications were found that the nature or severity of discrepancies differed between the four meaning systems. However, legitimation and continuation did seem to differ, including their respective outcomes integration and outlook.



### 3.2.1. Role: Legitimation

Among the participants with the meaning systems “Omnipresent spirituality” and “Enclosed spirituality” legitimation seemed to be quite deliberate, whereas among the participants with the meaning systems “Accompanying spirituality” and “Absent spirituality” legitimation seemed more automatic. These latter groups did describe feelings of shock after the diagnosis, uncertainty about any influence on cancer occurrence and feeling lost, as did the other interviewees. However, they explained the diagnosis of cancer early on as a negative experience that can happen to anyone, because it is part of life or of ageing, and now they will just have to deal with it. ‘Dealing with it’ was done by staying positive (see Continuation). As a 65-year old woman with breast cancer stated: “I just went on and trusted that everything would be alright. I always told the children, we are not going to sit in a corner crying or moping, because that just doesn’t help.” (p12, Accompanying spirituality).

In addition to this difference, it was observed that the people with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system were mostly focused on finding significance, whereas the participants with the meaning system “Enclosed spirituality” were mostly focused on comprehensibility. For example, a 49-year old woman with breast cancer explained: “Then this happened and I said, I believe God has a hand in this. To let the lives of his children come to his purpose. So I could surrender to this, to let me come to my purpose” (p350, Omnipresent spirituality). In contrast, a 55-year old woman with breast cancer said: “I was astonished and kept asking myself: ‘how is it possible that I became ill, that I have this condition?’” (p37, Enclosed spirituality).

### 3.2.2. Outcome: Integration

The different occurrences of legitimation were associated with differences in integration. Among the participants with the Accompanying or Absent spirituality meaning systems, large differences were observed in integration. Some regarded it as a black page in their life stories that they wanted to forget about as soon as possible, others considered it a valuable experience through which they had become more aware of what they valued in life, and still others did not feel any different at the time of the interview than they had before they had been diagnosed with cancer and did not view their experience as particularly positive or negative.

In contrast, all participants with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system indicated that they had been able to integrate the occurrence of cancer in their life stories. Their search for significance was accompanied by the spiritual belief that they had received a task or lesson in life and that life events would help them to achieve this task or lesson. The purpose of cancer was explained as learning to let go of control, learning to be more assertive, to grow in the purpose that God has with your life, or to obtain spiritual growth in general. Nevertheless, for some participants with this meaning system it was difficult to find a durable answer to the question of significance, because new events seemed to contradict the purpose they had initially found in the event. To resolve this contradiction, they were led into a new search for significance, to find a purpose that would match the current circumstances. A striking example of this is of a 48-year old woman with breast cancer:

Years ago I helped someone who wrote a book about what food does with disease, with your body, with health. So I had a strong sense that I had to know that for this. You know, I am convinced that everything happens for a reason. ( ... ) So, I agreed with my surgeon that I would do a detox for six weeks. If the tumor had shrunk after that I would continue my way, if it had remained the same or had grown we would do regular treatment. ( ... ) But after six weeks it had remained the same, so I had to go the regular way. That was really difficult, because I really thought it would work, that I could influence the tumor. But apparently that wasn’t the intention. ( ... ) It did help me to get through the treatments. ( ... ) But on the day I received the diagnosis, there is no such things a coincidence, I had my first appointment with a spiritual coach, who also does healings. ( ... ) Throughout the treatment period she gave a healing once a month. ( ... ) And at the end the spiritual coach

said: ‘remember what you had told me the very first time?’. Yes, that I wanted to feel more. ‘Well,’ she said, ‘what has happened in the past year?’ I felt a tremendous amount of things; I’ve felt loneliness, something I didn’t know, I’ve felt fear, something I didn’t know. ( . . . ) It’s difficult to say if that’s I why I got the cancer, but it is a . . . And now can I empathize much better with other people too. (p390, Omnipresent spirituality)

Finally, the search for comprehensibility among the participants with the Enclosed spirituality meaning system resulted in integration for most of the participants, but this process of legitimation seems to have been more difficult than for most of the participants with an Omnipresent spirituality meaning system. The search for comprehensibility was accompanied by the belief that each individual is in control of their own lives. The participants struggled to understand whether they could have discovered the cancer earlier or could have prevented it by living a healthier lifestyle. They also questioned whether certain events could have caused the cancer development, such as a divorce or having been fired from their job. Though these participants were not the only ones to express these questions, they did seem to be stronger in this group and at the center of their process of adjustment to the event. They felt confronted with the uncontrollability of the illness. Participants described that they were eventually able to explain the event as ‘bad luck’ and beyond their control. Some participants indicated that the journey toward this answer seems to have resulted in positive changes in their attitude toward life, because they are now able to let go of control in life, are more acceptant of death, are more aware of what is important in life and are more motivated to live in accordance with their values. These changes were often described in spiritual terms, such as the experience of transcending oneself, experiencing awe about life, and feeling connected with other people. An example of this is the story told by a 57-year old man with esophageal cancer:

‘The diagnosis didn’t surprise me, because I had recognized the symptoms from something I had read six months before. ( . . . ) When they told me it wasn’t palliative I just went for it. I was very optimistic. ( . . . ) I was more optimistic then, than I am now. I’m just very afraid that it will come back. ( . . . ) It is never going to leave my mind, because others can help you, but there’s nothing you can do about it yourself. ( . . . ) I want to control my own life. ( . . . ) and with cancer you can’t. ( . . . ) One time they made a mistake when administering the chemotherapy. So I got thrombosis. Well, then I think that happened now we just move on, because there’s no point in dwelling on it. But then I know for next time I have to pay close attention. You have to keep control. ( . . . ) It doesn’t help to be negative, that only makes things more difficult for yourself and others. You have to stay positive as long as you can. Especially in life. ( . . . ) If I were to write my memoirs in fifteen, twenty years’ time, this [the cancer] would have an important place in my life. It would definitely be a chapter. ( . . . ) Also, that it can make you happier, because my wife and I have made it through together.’ (p58, Enclosed spirituality)

### 3.2.3. Role: Continuation

When comparing the process of continuation between the four types of meaning system, we found that an attitude of ‘staying positive’ is underpinning this process, but that this meant something different in each meaning system. In contrast to the other interviewees, the participants with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system did not use the term ‘staying positive’ themselves.

For the participants with the meaning system “Accompanying spirituality”, staying positive meant staying optimistic, thinking positively, or believing that the cancer will be cured and/or that everything will be alright. The participants viewed this attitude as a natural part of their personality or as something they have been taught by their parents. All participants with this type of meaning system described that one reason they were able to maintain their positivity was the support they received from God. In the words of a 56-year old man with prostate cancer: ‘I think I can handle stress quite well, despite some difficulties, I can always find the strength. Also because of my faith. ( . . . )

Sometimes things just happen at exactly the right time. ( ... ) I think He has a hand in that.’ (p364, Accompanying spirituality).

In contrast to the participants with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system (see below), these participants did not experience a reciprocal relationship with God and did not engage in spiritual activities to reinforce their trust in the transcendent. Instead, God was viewed as a distant force, that is responsible for what is good in life and that would support the person if they were not able to cope with negative events on their own. Some of the participants did mention spiritual experiences that had occurred before they had been diagnosed with cancer, which helped them to maintain their trust in the transcendent and their positive attitude.

For the participants with the meaning system “Enclosed spirituality”, staying positive meant maintaining one’s trust in the transcendent. These participants believed in an immanent transcendence. They practiced spiritual activities, such as yoga or meditation, to (re)connect to their ‘inner core’ or experience their connectedness with others, which allowed them to experience control in their lives and to maintain or regain the confidence that they could cope with the experience of cancer. As expressed by a 58-year old woman with breast-cancer: ‘Spirituality is in the subconscious, where you can go and sense things and cope with them. ( ... ) When you really want something it can come, but you have to be strong enough. ( ... ) When you think very positively and you have a strong will, it will happen.’ (p429, Enclosed spirituality)

Like the participants with the Enclosed spirituality meaning system, the continued attitude for the meaning system “Omnipresent spirituality” was ‘trust in the transcendent’. However, for these participants this did not mean that they tried to stay positive. Instead, this attitude was expressed as a continued trust in God, in the greater whole, or in the belief that everything happens for a positive reason. The transcendent was described as being concerned with the life of the individual and willing to help in difficult times, and with which the participant was in a reciprocal relationship, even when the transcendent was not viewed as a personal entity. Spiritual activities, such as prayer or meditation, reinforced the attitude of trust and lightened the burden of the participant’s problems. In addition, these participants described that they had spontaneous spiritual experiences during difficult times of fear, doubt and/or sadness that helped them to regain their sense of trust: ‘That night I was in a really dark state ( ... ) But then I thought no matter if I die, I’m still alive now. And it was like a stream of water with light in it. I think that was a spiritual experience.’ (p69, Omnipresent spirituality).

Finally, for the participants with an Absent spirituality meaning system, staying positive meant relativizing the seriousness of having cancer and of the problems that they had faced during the past year. These participants strongly expressed that they felt it was useless to stay negative or to be worried. Instead, they focused on continuing their lives just as it had always been. As a 46-year old woman with breast cancer put it: ‘Because I think don’t whine, come on. It is such a waste of my time, waste of my energy. It’s wrong energy that you’re using by feeling everything. I don’t like that.’ (p22, Absent spirituality) This also meant the participants actively searched for ways to minimize the influence of the cancer treatment on daily routines, for example by reconsidering the order in which treatment was to take place or finding ways to reduce hair loss due to chemotherapy.

### 3.2.4. Outcome: Outlook


The process of continuation seems to have been least problematic for the participants with the Omnipresent and the Absent spirituality meaning systems. This is also reflected in their outlook toward the future: Both groups expressed a positive outlook toward the future. The persons with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning systems characterized this as a strengthened sense of trust in the future and in the transcendent. Their belief in an existence after death seemed to influence their positive outlook, despite acknowledging the possibility of cancer recurrence. As expressed by a 47-year old woman with breast cancer: ‘I am convinced that the peace I experience during yoga, feeling one with life and with myself, is similar to what happens when you die. So, I’m not as afraid of dying anymore.’ (p48, Omnipresent spirituality).

The persons with the Absent spirituality meaning system did not use the word ‘trust’ in their narratives. They did, however, describe an attitude of confidence toward the future which they derived from having overcome negative experiences in the past. A 61-year old woman with colon cancer: ‘But now, I’m still here and everything is going well, so now it’s easy, it’s all behind me’ (p8, Absent spirituality).

The participants with the Accompanying and the Enclosed spirituality meaning systems did not unequivocally express a positive outlook toward the future. Not all participants with the Accompanying spirituality meaning system were able to continue their attitude of staying positive, instead feeling weak, depressed or fearful. These participants described a negative outlook toward the future, whereas the participants who were able to continue their attitude described a positive outlook. Similarly, two of the four participants with the Enclosed spirituality meaning system had difficulty maintaining their sense of trust and control, due to severe fatigue and the realization that not everything in life is under their control. This is also illustrated in the quote of p58 above. They expressed disappointment and apprehensiveness toward the future, wondering whether they would return to their ‘normal’ selves of before the occurrence of cancer.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study, it was examined how various types of spiritual meaning systems influence the emotional adjustment to cancer, on the basis of narrative interviews with 20 people who were treated for cancer with curative intent. The findings are summarized in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Summary of findings on the role of the meaning systems in coping with cancer.

First, a general theory was developed on the role of meaning systems in adjustment and their outcomes. It was found that the meaning system could be in *discrepancy* with the appraisal of cancer and it could assist in *legitimizing* it. If legitimation was successful, the experience of cancer was *integrated* into the life story. Patients also reported a striving toward *continuation* of valued parts of the meaning system. If this was successful, they had a *positive outlook* toward the future.

Second, differences in the roles between four previously identified meaning systems (Uwland-Sikkema et al. 2018) were determined. With respect to *discrepancies*, no clear differences were found between the meaning systems. Differences were found in how *legitimation* and *continuation*

played out. Legitimation seemed to be quite deliberate among the participants with the meaning systems “Omnipresent spirituality” and “Enclosed spirituality”, whereas among the participants with the meaning systems “Accompanying spirituality” and “Absent spirituality” legitimation seemed more automatic. Also, legitimation through finding significance seemed to be more important for people with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system, whereas legitimation seemed to center around comprehensibility for the participants with the Enclosed spirituality meaning system.

*Continuation* centered on a form of staying positive in all stories, but with different connotations. For the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system, this meant a continued trust in the ‘good intentions’ of the transcendent based on spiritual activities and spontaneous spiritual experiences. For the Accompanying spirituality meaning system, this meant staying optimistic or hopeful supported by a belief that a distant God would help when needed. For the Enclosed spirituality meaning system, this meant maintaining trust in an immanent transcendent reinforced by spiritual activities that allowed for a sense of control. For the Absent spirituality meaning system, this meant relativizing the seriousness of having cancer and any problems that they had faced apart from any spiritual beliefs, activities, attitudes or experiences.

Finally, differences in outcomes of adjustment were investigated between the four meaning systems. The findings in the interviews suggested that *integration* was reached by all participants with an Omnipresent spirituality meaning system, supported by a belief that life events happen to help them fulfil their task in life. Most participants with an Enclosed spirituality meaning system also reached integration, but seemingly with more difficulty than those with an Omnipresent spirituality meaning system due to a struggle with the experienced loss of control. Among the participants with the Accompanying or Absent spirituality meaning systems, large differences were observed in integration.

A more *positive outlook* was expressed by participants with an Omnipresent or an Absent spirituality meaning system, than the participants with the other two meaning systems. For the first group, this positive outlook was supported by a belief in an existence after death, whereas for the second the positive outlook seemed based on previous experiences in life.

The findings closely resemble those of the studies discussed in the introduction section. Questions about mortality, fragility, lifestyle and personal control frequently occurred in the stories of our participants and spiritual practices were mobilized in coping with these. Interestingly, however, we recognized these questions most clearly among the participants with the Accompanying and Enclosed spirituality meaning systems. Perhaps because they needed to navigate strong self-reliance with a belief in transcendence, whereas the participants with the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system relied more on transcendence and those with the Absent spirituality meaning system fully relied on themselves and their loved ones. The process of slowly relinquishing control as described by [Vonarx and Hyppolite \(2013\)](#); [Halstead and Hull \(2001\)](#); and [Hvidt \(2017\)](#) seems, thus, also most characteristic of the Accompanying and Enclosed spirituality meaning systems. Reliance on fellow patients, friends and family, as emphasized by the patients in the studies by [Hvidt \(2017\)](#) and by [Saarelainen \(2017\)](#) did not seem characteristic of any particular meaning system in our study, but was mentioned by all participants. With regard to cultural differences, we recognized the suggestion by [Ahmadi \(2006\)](#) that a relationship with God was not often invoked by our Dutch respondents. Nevertheless, several participants did refer to God as important in their lives and in coping with cancer. We also recognized all of the various ways of engaging with nature and contemplative practices from the cross-cultural studies by [Ahmadi et al. \(2017\)](#), suggesting that the Netherlands might be a particularly pluralistic society containing characteristics of both highly religious and highly secular cultures.

The findings from our study provide direct support for many of the elements in the meaning-making coping model by [Park \(2010\)](#). Discrepancies between global meaning and situational meaning were found in almost all narratives. Many of the difficulties experienced by the participants revolved around a loss of control. Spiritual activities and experiences helped to negotiate sharing responsibility between the participant and the transcendent. Efforts at meaning making, in the form of legitimation, were both automatic and deliberate. Legitimation was centered both on assimilation

of the appraised meaning into the meaning system—for example, in terms of positive reappraisal within the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system or relativizing within the Absent spirituality meaning system—and on accommodation of the meaning system to the appraised meaning—for example, as changed beliefs about control within the Enclosed spirituality meaning system. However, assimilation seemed dominant. In addition, both searching for comprehensibility and searching for significance occurred. Finally, meaning-making coping took place both at the cognitive level and the emotional level.

Park (2010) asked which meaning system might be more conducive to assimilation and, with that, less vulnerable to discrepancies. It was found that legitimation and continuation were most successful among the participants with an Omnipresent spirituality meaning system. The strong sense of trust in the transcendent expressed by these participants and the belief that life events have a supportive purpose seemed to contribute to this successful adjustment.

With regard to the outcomes of meaning-making, Park (2010) suggested that these can be categorized as acceptance, causal (re-)attributions, changes in identity, growth and positive life changes, reappraisals of the event (especially in relation to previous negative life events), changed global belief and goals, and a changed sense of meaning in life. This does not seem to fit the outcomes observed in this study (integration and positive outlook). This is mainly because the categorization does not explicitly acknowledge that the ascription of “no meaning” can also be meaning (albeit an insecure one), such as was observed within the Accompanying and Absent spirituality meaning systems. Instead, Kunkel et al. (2014) offer a typology of meanings that seems to do more justice to this finding. They describe how the processes of assimilation/accommodation and searching for comprehensibility/significance interact among people coping with grief to produce four types of outcomes or meanings-made: Sensemaking (assimilation and comprehensibility), Acceptance or resignation without understanding (accommodation and comprehensibility), Realization of benefits via positive reappraisal (assimilation and significance), and Realignment of roles and relationships (accommodation and significance). The outcomes mentioned by Park are subsumed within this categorization, but it does not seem to presuppose that meanings-made are solid at the time of their discovery, to the extent the categorization of Park does. Nevertheless, the meanings-made identified by Kunkel et al. (2014) seem to only refer to what was named ‘integration’ here, involving changes to one’s story about oneself and one’s relationship to the environment. Beside integration, an important meaning-made in the present study was having a positive outlook toward the future. This seemed to result from the process of continuation and did not involve changes to one’s life story. Instead, it implied an attitude of trust and positivity.

#### 4.1. Limitations

Due to the use of qualitative interviews, this study highly depended on the reflective and expressive capacities of our participants. This means that important aspects of both spirituality and coping that cannot be expressed into words may have been missed. Spirituality and meaning can also be experienced on a more visceral level, in experiences of connectedness, motivation and (self-) transcendence (van der Lans 1996; Smit 2015) which are not captured in an interview. In addition, spirituality was operationalized as ‘life view’ or ‘attitude in life’ during the interviews, which might imply a cognitive bias toward spirituality. Nevertheless, the participants mentioned several emotional and physical elements to spirituality and adjustment as evidenced in the process of continuation.

The findings might not be generalizable to people in other circumstances, be it other types of cancer, people of a different age, nationality, ethnicity or educational level, or a study with a different interviewer. We did not find any suggestions that the type or stage of cancer, or the type of treatment received influenced the role of spirituality in adjustment or adjustment itself. However, given the small sample size, such influence cannot be ruled out.

The study involved a cross-sectional, retrospective design which also means that it is unclear to what extent the adjustment process described here reflects the process that has actually taken place in the moment. A longitudinal study is needed to test this.

Despite the potential limitations of this design, the interviews and narrative approach to them have allowed us to gain a better insight into the developmental process that the patients have experienced in relation to the diagnosis and treatment of cancer. Other approaches, such as a questionnaire study, would not have allowed for the interactions between various beliefs, practices and experiences to have surfaced. Narrative interviews allow participants to select and order events and experiences in the way they deem important for the particular situation (Ayres 2008; Riessman 2008). Given that the informants knew that the purpose of the project was to study the role of spirituality in coping with cancer and that most of the questions focused on the role of the person's life view in the experience of cancer, it might be assumed that what was uncovered was of high importance to the participants during the past year, even though it remains uncertain whether these were the only themes of importance in the process of adjustment.

Donders (2004) stresses that telling the story of cancer is a form of coping for the participants, which means that to some extent the findings have been constructed during the interview itself. We have verified our findings regarding differences in outcomes between the types of meaning systems with the use of Kruskal–Wallis tests on the questionnaire data of the interviewees. We found that the participants with the Omnipresent or Absent spirituality meaning systems reported higher well-being and lower distress than the participants with the Accompanying or Enclosed spirituality meaning systems. These analyses also indicated that this difference in adjustment might be a consequence of differences in fatigue instead of in meaning systems between the groups of participants. On the other hand, the measure of fatigue used was a self-report measure that asked for subjective experiences. It is, therefore, also possible that the groups appraised the symptoms of fatigue differently based on their respective meaning systems. This hypothesis is supported in a quantitative study from the project of which this study is a part. In that study we found that the spirituality aspects of Meaningfulness, which might be deemed characteristic of the Omnipresent spirituality meaning system, and Acceptance, which might be deemed characteristic of the Absent spirituality meaning system, buffered the impact of fatigue on distress (Visser et al. 2018).

Finally, we acknowledge that the creation of a typology reduces the richness of the information and hides certain beliefs, practices and experiences. However, a typology also helps to uncover similarities and patterns, and facilitates comparison. Particularly for clinical practice, typologies can point to a manageable amount of points of attention in conversations with patients.

#### 4.2. Implications

The findings from the present study suggest that healthcare practitioners need to pay close attention to the nature of the (spiritual) meaning system of their patients to provide the appropriate support. The nature of beliefs about control and attitudes of positivity can influence how much a person struggles with the diagnosis and treatment of cancer. What seems to be of particular importance is that the person can see some type of benefit or positive meaning to the experience, as did the people with an Omnipresent spirituality meaning system, or that their daily lives remain uninterrupted, as among the people with an Absent spirituality meaning system. Thus, care providers should ask about these experiences and about the beliefs and attitudes that underlie them.

But should the care professional then either stimulate the person to think about the experience very deliberately or to 'ignore' it? Studies on narrative interventions among cancer patients suggest that stimulating deliberate meaning making can be helpful (Kruizinga et al. 2016). But such interventions might only be attractive to people who are already inclined to do so. Differences in deliberate or automatic meaning-making and in integration might reflect a difference in thinking styles of the participants (Sternberg 1999). It seemed that the participants with the Omnipresent and Enclosed spirituality meaning systems relied more on global thinking in search for hidden meanings, whereas



those with the Accompanying and Absent spirituality meaning systems relied more on local thinking in their focus on everyday experience. Local thinking may offer less opportunities for durable accommodation or assimilation of meaning, which might leave the person with a sense of insecurity about the future. On the other hand, it might also offer the opportunity to relativize (reappraise) the impact of the disease. Thus, more research on this type of processing is recommended.

Another aspect that needs to be taken into account in care is how the cancer is appraised in comparison to previous life events. As might be expected in a meaning systems perspective, it became clear during the interviews that the process of adjustment to cancer was not only influenced by spirituality, but also by past or current other negative life events. Several participants evaluated the experience of cancer as less severe than, for example, their previous experience of a broken relationship, the death of a loved one, or losing their job. In one interview, the fear of having to go to a nursing home because of physical, age-related, deterioration, was a more prominent theme than the experience of cancer. Earlier life events also contributed to experiences of trust and confidence in being able to cope with cancer.

A final question for intervention is whether these adjustment processes take place in the environs of care professionals. As several studies have already indicated (Gall et al. 2009; Heim et al. 1997; Sehlen et al. 2003), and the stories of the participants confirm, much of the coping process takes place in the private sphere and the full scale of the implications of having (had) cancer do not become clear until medical treatment draws to a close or is completed. Nevertheless, some of the participants in this study were still receiving immunotherapy and all participants still had regular check-ups at the hospital, providing care professionals with the opportunity to monitor and perhaps intervene in emotional adjustment. Hospital chaplains will be of valuable assistance in this, because they are specifically trained to understand the meaning system of the person and to support questions about comprehensibility, significance and continuation.

**Author Contributions:** A.V. has contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, writing of the original draft and editing later drafts, and visualization. N.U.-S. has contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, and review and editing of the writing. G.J.W. has contributed to the formal analysis, supervision and review and editing of the writing. B.G. has contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, review and editing of the writing, supervision, project administration and funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Dutch Cancer Society, grant number HDI 2008-4076. The APC was funded by the University of Groningen. At the time the study was conducted, B.G., A.V. and N.U. were employed at the Helen Dowling Institute, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

- Ahmadi, Fereshteh. 2006. *Culture, Religion and Spirituality in Coping*. Upsalla: Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, Available online: <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Culture,+religion+and+spirituality+in+coping#0> (accessed on 26 November 2019).
- Ahmadi, Fereshteh, Jisung Park, and Kyung Mee Kim. 2017. Meaning-Making Coping Among Cancer Patients in Sweden and South Korea: A Comparative Perspective. *Journal of Religion and Health* 56: 1794–811. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Ahmadi, Nader, Fereshteh Ahmadi, Pelin Erbil, and Öner A. Cetrez. 2019. Religious Meaning-Making Coping in Turkey: A Study Among Cancer Patients. *Illness Crisis and Loss* 27: 190–208. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ayres, Lioness. 2008. Narrative Interview. In *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Qualitative Research Methods*. Edited by Lisa M. Given. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications, Inc., pp. 545–46. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bernts, Ton, and Joantine Berghuijs. 2016. *God in Nederland 1966–2015*. Utrecht: Ten Have.

- De Bruin, E. J., and M. Van Dijk. 1996. *HDI—Health and Disease Inventories, Een Meetinstrument Voor Aanpassing Aan Kanker. Handleiding [HDI—Health and Disease Inventories, a Measurement Instrument for Adjustment to Cancer. Manual.]*. Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- de Jager Meezenbroek, Eltica, Bert Garssen, Machteld van den Berg, Gerwi Tuytel, Dirk van Dierendonck, Adriaan Visser, and Wilmar B. Schaufeli. 2012. Measuring Spirituality as a Universal Human Experience: Development of the Spiritual Attitude and Involvement List (SAIL). *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 30: 141–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Donders, Johannes Peter Henricus. 2004. Narratieve Reconstructie Bij Mensen Met Kanker [Narrative Reconstruction among People with Cancer]. Available online: <http://privat.bahnhof.se/wb285054/weerlichtviewer/thumbs/ProefschriftJPHDonders.pdf> (accessed on 21 May 2013).
- Gall, Terry Lynn, Elizabeth Kristjansson, Claire Charbonneau, and Peggy Florack. 2009. A Longitudinal Study on the Role of Spirituality in Response to the Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast Cancer. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 32: 174–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Garssen, Bert, Nicoline F. Uwland-Sikkema, and Anja Visser. 2015. How Spirituality Helps Cancer Patients with the Adjustment to Their Disease. *Journal of Religion and Health* 54: 1249–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Halstead, Marilyn Tuls, and Jayne I. Fernsler. 1994. Coping Strategies of Long-Term Cancer Survivors. *Cancer Nursing* 17: 94–100. [CrossRef]
- Halstead, Marilyn Tuls, and Margaret Hull. 2001. Struggling with Paradoxes: The Process of Spiritual Development in Women with Cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum* 28: 1534–44.
- Heim, Edgar, Ladislav Valach, and Liliane Schaffner. 1997. Coping and Psychosocial Adaptation: Longitudinal Effects over Time and Stages in Breast Cancer. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 59: 408–18. [CrossRef]
- Hvidt, Elisabeth Assing. 2017. The existential cancer journey: Travelling through the intersubjective structure of homeworld/alienworld. *Health* 21: 375–91. [CrossRef]
- James, Abigail, and Adrian Wells. 2003. Religion and Mental Health: Towards a Cognitive-Behavioural Framework. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 8: 359–76. [CrossRef]
- Kruizinga, Renske, Iris D. Hartog, Marc Jacobs, Joost G. Daams, Michael Scherer-Rath, Johannes B. A. M. Schilderman, Mirjam A. G. Sprangers, and Hanneke W. M. Van Laarhoven. 2016. The Effect of Spiritual Interventions Addressing Existential Themes Using a Narrative Approach on Quality of Life of Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Psycho-Oncology* 25: 253–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kunkel, Adrienne, Michael Robert Dennis, and Benjamin Garner. 2014. Illustrating an Integrated Typology of Meaning Reconstruction in Discourse: Grief-Related Disclosures. *Death Studies* 38: 623–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- La Cour, Peter, Nadja Hørdam Ausker, and Niels Christian Hvidt. 2012. Six understandings of the word ‘spirituality’ in a secular country. *Archive for the Psychology of Religion* 34: 63–81. [CrossRef]
- Lieblich, Alan. 1998. The Holistic-Content Perspective. In *Narrative Research*. Edited by Amia Lieblich, Rivka Tuval-Mashiach and Tamar Zilber. Thousand Oaks: SAGE publications Inc., pp. 62–87. [CrossRef]
- Park, Crystal L. 2005. Religion as a Meaning-Making Framework in Coping with Life Stress. *Journal of Social Issues* 61: 707–29. [CrossRef]
- Park, Crystal L. 2010. Making Sense of the Meaning Literature: An Integrative Review of Meaning Making and Its Effects on Adjustment to Stressful Life Events. *Psychological Bulletin* 136: 257–301. [CrossRef]
- Pew Research Center. 2015. *U.S. Public Becoming Less Religious*. Washington: Pew Research Center, Available online: <http://www.pewforum.org/2015/11/03/u-s-public-becoming-less-religious/> (accessed on 20 May 2016).
- Riessman, Kohler Catherine. 2008. Narrative Analysis. In *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Qualitative Research Methods*. Edited by Lisa M. Given. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications, Inc., pp. 540–66. [CrossRef]
- Saarelainen, Suvi-Maria. 2017. Emerging Finnish Adults Coping with Cancer: Religious, Spiritual, and Secular Meanings of the Experience. *Pastoral Psychology* 66: 251–68. [CrossRef]
- Sehlen, Susanne, R. Song, H. Fahmüller, P. Herschbach, M. Lenk, H. Hollenhorst, B. Schymura, U. Aydemir, and Eckhart Dühmke. 2003. Coping of Cancer Patients During and After Radiotherapy—A Follow-Up of 2 Years. *Oncology Research and Treatment* 26: 557–63. [CrossRef]
- Smit, Jacob Derk. 2015. *Antwoord Geven Op Het Leven Zelf [Finding an Answer to Life Itself]*. Delft: Eburon.
- Spinhoven, Philip, Johan Ormel, P. P. A. Sloekers, G. I. J. M. (Ruud) Kempen, Anne E. M. Speckens, and Albert M. van Hemert. 1997. A Validation Study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in Different Groups of Dutch Subjects. *Psychological Medicine* 27: 363–70. [CrossRef]

- Sternberg, Robert J. 1999. *Thinking Styles*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Taylor, Elizabeth Johnston. 2003. Spiritual Needs of Patients with Cancer and Family Caregivers. *Cancer Nursing* 26: 260–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Uwland-Sikkema, Nicoline F., Anja Visser, Gerben J. Westerhof, and Bert Garssen. 2018. How Is Spirituality Part of People's Meaning System? *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality* 10: 157–65. [[CrossRef](#)]
- van der Lans, Jan. 1996. Religion as a Meaning System: A Conceptual Model for Research and Counseling. In *Religion, Psychopathology and Coping*. Edited by Halina Gryzmala-Moszczynska and Benjamin Beit-Hallahmi. Amsterdam: Rodopi, pp. 95–105.
- Van Uden, Marinus Henricus Franciscus. 1985. *Religie in de Crisis van de Rouw: Een Exploratief Onderzoek Door Middel van Diepteinterviews*. [*Religion in the Crisis of Mourning: An Explorative Study by in-Depth Interviews*]. Nijmegen: Dekker & Van de Vegt.
- Visser, Anja, Eltica C. de Jager Meezenbroek, and Bert Garssen. 2018. Does Spirituality Reduce the Impact of Somatic Symptoms on Distress in Cancer Patients? Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Findings. *Social Science and Medicine* 214: 57–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Vonarx, Nicolas, and Shelley-Rose Hyppolite. 2013. Religion, Spirituality, and Cancer: The Question of Individual Empowerment. *Integrative Cancer Therapies* 12: 69–80. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Weathers, Elizabeth, Geraldine McCarthy, and Alice Coffey. 2016. Concept Analysis of Spirituality: An Evolutionary Approach. *Nursing Forum* 51. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Woods, Teresa E., and Gail H. Ironson. 1999. Religion and Spirituality in the Face of Illness: How Cancer, Cardiac, and HIV Patients Describe Their Spirituality/Religiosity. *Journal of Health Psychology* 4: 393–412. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Yang, William, Ton Staps, and Ellen Hijmans. 2012. Going through a Dark Night: Existential Crisis in Cancer Patients—Effective Coping as a Psycho-Spiritual Process Embedded in the Vulnerability of the Body. *Studies in Spirituality* 22: 311–39.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).